UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		U.I.		
	Fidel Moreno-Lizarraga	Case Number:	11-7637M-001	
present and	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § was represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case.	by a preponderance of the	g was held on December 2, 2011. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pi	reponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the ch	e defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant co	he defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of	years imprisonment.	
The at the time o	of the hearing in this matter, except as n	rerial findings of the Pretrial Soted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of cond DIRECT	ndant will flee. ditions will reasonably assure TONS REGARDING DETEN		
a corrections appeal. The of the United	s facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	le, from persons awaiting or s le opportunity for private con the Government, the person	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the inection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	S ORDERED that should an appeal of th	is detention order be filed wi	th the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tone day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS Services suf	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a release fficiently in advance of the hearing befo he potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be consi re the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DA	TED this 2 nd day of December	2011.		
		John		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge